# **EXHIBIT B**

# **UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-K**

	I OKIVI I	U-1X	
$\times$	ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)	OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	
	For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012		
	OR		
	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 1934	15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF	
	Commission file numb	er: 001-16109	
	CORRECTIONS CORPOR	ATION OF AMERICA	
	(Exact name of registrant as sp	ecified in its charter)	
	MARYLAND	62-1763875	
	(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)	
	10 BURTON HILLS BLVD., NASHV (Address and zip code of princip		
	REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INC	LUDING AREA CODE: (615) 263-3000	
SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:			
	<u>Title of each class</u> Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share	Name of each exchange on which registered  New York Stock Exchange	
	SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT	<u> </u>	
	NONE		
India	cate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in	—————————————————————————————————————	
	cate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section		
	cate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be fil	, ,	
the p	preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to past 90 days. Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$		
subn	cate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted nitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 post such files). Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$		
the r	cate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regul registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated $C$ . $\square$		
	cate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerate e accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):	d filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and	
Larg	ge accelerated filer 🛛 🗵	Accelerated filer	
Non-	-accelerated filer	Smaller reporting company	
Indio	cate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule $1$	2b-2 of the Act.). Yes □ No ⊠	
on th	aggregate market value of the shares of the registrant's Common Stock held by no he closing price of such shares on the New York Stock Exchange on that day. The truary 19, 2013 was 100,249,241.		l
	DOCUMENTS INCORPORATE	ED BY REFERENCE:	

Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, currently scheduled to be held on May 16, 2013, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

# **Table of Contents**

### CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA FORM 10-K

# For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item No.	Item No.	
1	PART I	
1.	<u>Business</u>	-
	<u>Overview</u>	5
	<u>Operations</u>	7
	Business Development	9
	Facility Portfolio	11
	Competitive Strengths	17
	Business Strategy	19
	<u>Capital Strategy</u>	20
	The Corrections and Detention Industry	22
	Government Regulation	23
	<u>Insurance</u>	25
	<u>Employees</u>	25
	<u>Competition</u>	26
1A.	Risk Factors	26
1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	46
2.	<u>Properties</u>	46
3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	46
4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	46
	<u>PART II</u>	
5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	47
	Market Price of and Distributions on Capital Stock	47
	Dividend Policy	47
	Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	48
6.	Selected Financial Data	48
7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	50
	Overview	50
	Critical Accounting Policies	53
	Results of Operations	57
	Liquidity and Capital Resources	73
	Inflation	79
	Seasonality and Quarterly Results	79
7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	80
8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	80
9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	80
9A.	Controls and Procedures	80
9B.	Other Information	84
JD.	PART III	04
10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	85
11.	Executive Compensation	85
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	86
		86
13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence	
14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	86
4.5	PART IV	0=
15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	87
	SIGNATURES	

2

#### **Table of Contents**

We have not experienced a strike or work stoppage at any of our facilities. Approximately 785 employees at four of our facilities are represented by labor unions. In the opinion of management, overall employee relations are good.

#### Competition

The correctional and detention facilities we own, operate, or manage, as well as those facilities we own but are managed by other operators, are subject to competition for inmates from other private prison managers. We compete primarily on the basis of bed availability, cost, the quality and range of services offered, our experience in the operation and management of correctional and detention facilities, and our reputation. We compete with government agencies that are responsible for correctional facilities and a number of privatized correctional service companies, including, but not limited to, The GEO Group, Inc. and Management and Training Corporation. We also compete in some markets with small local companies that may have a better knowledge of the local conditions and may be better able to gain political and public acceptance. Other potential competitors may in the future enter into businesses competitive with us without a substantial capital investment or prior experience. We may also compete in the future for new development projects with companies that have more financial resources than we have. Competition by other companies may adversely affect the number of inmates at our facilities, which could have a material adverse effect on the operating revenue of our facilities. In addition, revenue derived from our facilities will be affected by a number of factors, including the demand for inmate beds, general economic conditions, and the age of the general population.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

As the owner and operator of correctional and detention facilities, we are subject to certain risks and uncertainties associated with, among other things, the corrections and detention industry and pending or threatened litigation in which we are involved. In addition, we are also currently subject to risks associated with our indebtedness as well as our qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes effective for our taxable year beginning January 1, 2013. The risks and uncertainties set forth below could cause our actual results to differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements contained herein and elsewhere. The risks described below are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or those we currently deem to be immaterial may also materially and adversely affect our business operations. Any of the following risks could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

#### Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

Our results of operations are dependent on revenues generated by our jails, prisons, and detention facilities, which are subject to the following risks associated with the corrections and detention industry.

We are subject to fluctuations in occupancy levels, and a decrease in occupancy levels could cause a decrease in revenues and profitability. While a substantial portion of our cost structure is fixed, a substantial portion of our revenue is generated under facility ownership and management contracts that specify per diem payments based upon daily occupancy. We are dependent upon the governmental agencies with which we have contracts to provide inmates for our managed facilities. We cannot control occupancy levels at our managed facilities. Under a per diem rate structure, a decrease in our occupancy rates could cause a decrease in revenue and profitability. Average compensated occupancy for our facilities in

#### **Table of Contents**

operation for 2012, 2011, and 2010 was 88.2%, 89.9%, and 90.3%, respectively. Occupancy rates may, however, decrease below these levels in the future. When combined with relatively fixed costs for operating each facility, a decrease in occupancy levels could have a material adverse effect on our profitability.

We are dependent on government appropriations and our results of operations may be negatively affected by governmental budgetary challenges. Our cash flow is subject to the receipt of sufficient funding of and timely payment by contracting governmental entities. If the appropriate governmental agency does not receive sufficient appropriations to cover its contractual obligations, it may terminate our contract or delay or reduce payment to us. Any delays in payment, or the termination of a contract, could have an adverse effect on our cash flow and financial condition. In addition, federal, state and local governments are constantly under pressure to control additional spending or reduce current levels of spending. These pressures have been compounded by the current economic downturn. Accordingly, we have been requested and may be requested in the future to reduce our existing per diem contract rates or forego prospective increases to those rates. Further, our customers could reduce inmate population levels in facilities we own or manage to contain their correctional costs. In addition, it may become more difficult to renew our existing contracts on favorable terms or otherwise.

Competition for inmates may adversely affect the profitability of our business. We compete with government entities and other private operators on the basis of bed availability, cost, quality, and range of services offered, experience in managing facilities and reputation of management and personnel. While there are barriers to entering the market for the ownership and management of correctional and detention facilities, these barriers may not be sufficient to limit additional competition. In addition, our government customers may assume the management of a facility that they own and we currently manage for them upon the termination of the corresponding management contract or, if such customers have capacity at their facilities, may take inmates currently housed in our facilities and transfer them to government-run facilities. Since we are paid on a per diem basis with no minimum guaranteed occupancy under most of our contracts, the loss of such inmates and resulting decrease in occupancy would cause a decrease in our revenues and profitability.

Escapes, inmate disturbances, and public resistance to privatization of correctional and detention facilities could result in our inability to obtain new contracts or the loss of existing contracts. The operation of correctional and detention facilities by private entities has not achieved complete acceptance by either governments or the public. The movement toward privatization of correctional and detention facilities has also encountered resistance from certain groups, such as labor unions and others that believe that correctional and detention facilities should only be operated by governmental agencies.

Moreover, negative publicity about an escape, riot or other disturbance or perceived poor conditions at a privately managed facility may result in adverse publicity to us and the private corrections industry in general. Any of these occurrences or continued trends may make it more difficult for us to renew or maintain existing contracts or to obtain new contracts, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are subject to terminations, non-renewals, or competitive re-bids of our government contracts. We typically enter into facility contracts with governmental entities for terms of up to five years, with additional renewal periods at the option of the contracting governmental agency. Notwithstanding any contractual renewal option of a contracting governmental agency, 38 of our facility contracts with the customers listed under "Business – Facility Portfolio – Facilities and Facility Management Contracts" are currently scheduled to